

Translation
Article 34
(NOT ENTERED)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

25 APR 2005

PCT/DE2003/003487



10/532573

Applicant's or agent's file reference W1.2035PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/DE2003/003487	International filing date (day/month/year) 21 October 2003 (21.10.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25 October 2002 (25.10.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC B41F 7/30		
Applicant KOENIG & BAUER AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>4</u> sheets, including this cover sheet. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of <u>13</u> sheets.
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 18 March 2004 (18.03.2004)	Date of completion of this report 22 March 2005 (22.03.2005)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/DE2003/003487

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

- ☐ the international application as originally filed
- ☒ the description:
pages 2-4,6-18, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages 1,5, filed with the letter of 09 November 2004 (09.11.2004)
- ☒ the claims:
pages _____, as originally filed
pages _____, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages 1-47, filed with the letter of 09 November 2004 (09.11.2004)
- ☒ the drawings:
pages 1/2,2/2, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____
- ☐ the sequence listing part of the description:
pages _____, as originally filed
pages _____, filed with the demand
pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims, Nos. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/fig _____

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-47	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-47	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-47	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

A method for adjusting the discontinuous period of dampening solution application (as specified in the preambles of independent claims 1 and 2) and adjusting the spraying frequency (as specified in the preambles of independent claims 39 and 40) on the basis of the rotational frequency of the sprayed roll is known from (for example) US-A-4649818 (document D1) (cited in the description).

In D1 the spraying frequency is controlled according to the rotational speed only, whereas claims 1, 2, 39 and 40 also include the concept of avoiding overlap between the sprayed regions by controlling the spraying frequency according to the period of rotation or the diameter of the sprayed roll.

The subject matter of claims 1, 2, 39 and 40 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

The problem addressed by the present invention and solved by the aforementioned features is that of how to apply the dampening solution evenly. The application of the claimed subject matter with the features defined in claims 1, 2, 39 and 40 for the specified purpose is not suggested by the searched documents either individually or in combination with each other. Claims 1, 2, 39 and 40 therefore involve an inventive step and, together with the advantageous developments defined in dependent claims 3 to 38 and 41 to 47, meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) to (4).